Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/647,156	STENKAMP ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TAMTHOM N. TRUONG	1624
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>After Final</u>		
(1) <u>TAMTHOM N. TRUONG</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David A. Dow</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 November 2009	Time: ~ 1:30 pm	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 45, 60 and 69-73		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Tamthom N. Truong/ Examiner, Art Unit 1624	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Truong discussed with Mr. Dow of R13 in claim 45 is extraneous, and needs to be deleted; also definition of R20 needs to include groups of "difluoromethyl, trifluoromethyl, difluoromethoxy, trifluoromethoxy" to give antecedent basis to claim 56. Also, withdrawn claims 69-73 need to be cancelled. Claim 60, at the end, needs to have "and" be replaced with — or —. Mr. Dow seemingly agreed to the above changes to put the case in condition for allowance.